

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/504,304	02/15/2000	Roland F. Portman	1458P	7700	
75	590 07/16/2002				
Joseph A Sawyer Jr			EXAMINER		
Sawyer & Asso Post Office Box	k 51418	PHAN, T		HANH S	
Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841	2841	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/504,304	PORTMAN ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Thanh S Phan	2841				
Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she to with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13, lines 4-5 recite "either at least one removable visual indication structure or an alternate removable structure interchangeably coupled to the at least one pin".

Claim 26, line 1 recite "... wherein the alternative removable structure is a flat ribbon..."

These recitations are vague and unclear with regard to the "alternative removal structure". There are no recitation regarding this limitation.

As best understood by the examiner;

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.



Art Unit: 2841

Claims 1-4, 7-10, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yagi (U.S Pat # 4,667,270).

Regarding claim 1, Yagi discloses a removable visual structure (Figure 1, elements 10, 18) comprising:

A removable connection portion (18); and

A visual indication portion (10) coupled to the removable connection portion, wherein the visual indication structure can be removably attached to a printed circuit board (Figure 1, element 36).

Regarding claim 2, Yagi discloses a removable visual indication structure comprises an LED (Figure 1, element 10).

Regarding claim 3, Yagi discloses that the LED comprises a surface mount LED (Figure 1, element 10).

Regarding claim 4, Yagi discloses that the removable visual structure wherein the removable connection portion comprises a surface mount connector (Figure 1, element 18).

Regarding claim 7, Yagi discloses a removable visual indication structure for use with a printed circuit board (Figure 1, elements 10, 18, 36) comprising:

A removable connector (18) adapted to be attached to the printed circuit board (36); and at least one visual indicator (10) coupled to the removable connector.

Regarding claim 8, Yagi discloses the visual indication structure comprises an LED (Figure 1, element 10).

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 9, Yagi discloses a removable visual indication structure wherein the LED comprises a surface mount LED (Figure 1, element 10).

Regarding claim 10, Yagi discloses a removable visual indication structure wherein the removable connector comprises a surface mount connector (Figure 1, element 18).

Regarding claims13-17, Yagi discloses a printed circuit board system (Figure 1) comprising;

A printed circuit board (element 36);

At least one pin coupled to the printed circuit board (element 20 between elements 42); and at least one removable visual indication structure coupled to the at least one pin (element 10).

At least one removable visual indication structure comprises: a removable connector (element 18) adapted to be attached to the printed circuit board; and at least one visual indicator (element 10) coupled to the removable connector.

At least one visual indicator comprises an LED (element 10).

The LED comprises a surface mount LED (element 10).

The removable connector comprises a surface mount connector (element 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2841

Page 5

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6, 11-12, 18-19, and 20-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi.

Yagi does not discloses that the LED is soldered to the surface mount connector, however, it involves no invention to cast in one piece an article which has formerly been cast in two pieces and put together. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.s. 164 (1893).

Regarding claims 20-25, the method steps are necessitated by a light indicator structure to a printed circuit board as it is discloses by Yagi as mentioned above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-0069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7722 for regular communications and 703-305-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DAVID MARTIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Árt Unit: 2841

Page 6

TSP July 15, 2002